



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,065	09/09/2003	Nancy Lucas	33449.8047.US00	9198
53175	7590	10/22/2008	EXAMINER	
PERKINS COIE LLP/CARGILL, INC. P.O. BOX 1247 SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247			PASCUA, JES F	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3782		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		10/22/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/657,065	LUCAS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jes F. Pascua	3782	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jes F. Pascua, USPTO. (3) ____.

(2) Steven M. Giovannetti, applicant's representative. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 20 October 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: As proposed in a facsimile transmitted on 9/30/08.

Identification of prior art discussed: Of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed language did not overcome the Kucksdorf et al. reference, because it does not preclude a bag having more than two panels. The functional adjective "non-resealable" does not overcome the Anspacher reference, which is capable of being left unsealed after opening. The proposed language appears to define over the Krings reference.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jes F. Pascua/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3782	
--	--